- BUSING, W. R., SMITH, H. G., PETERSON, S. W. & LEVY, H. A. (1964). J. Phys. (Paris) Colloq. 25, 495–496.
- CHIDAMBARAM, R. (1962). J. Chem. Phys. 36, 2361-2365.
- CHIDAMBARAM, R., SEQUEIRA, A. & SIKKA, S. K. (1964). J. Chem. Phys. 41, 3616-3622.
- DATT, I. D. & OZEROV, R. P. (1972). Sov. Phys.-Crystallogr. 17, 383-401.
- HAMILTON, W. C. & IBERS, J. A. (1968). In Hydrogen Bonding in Solids. New York: Benjamin.
- JENSEN, A. T. (1940). K. Dan. Vidensk. Selsk. Mat.-Fys. Medd. 17(9), 1-17.
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee.

KLEINBERG, R. (1969). J. Chem. Phys. 50, 4690-4696.

MIZUNO, J. (1960). J. Phys. Soc. Jpn, 15, 1412-1420.

- MIZUNO, J. (1961). J. Phys. Soc. Jpn, 16, 1574-1580.
- PADMANABHAN, V. M., BUSING, W. R. & LEVY, H. A. (1978). Acta Cryst. B34, 2290-2292.
- PAULING, L. (1969). *The Nature of the Chemical Bond*, 3rd ed., pp. 514–518. Ithaca: Cornell Univ. Press.
- SHCHUKAREV, S. A., STROGANOV, E. V., ANDREEV, S. N. & PURVINSKII, O. F. (1963). Zh. Strukt. Khim. 4, 56-58.
- SHULL, C. G. (1972). Personal communication. The values were measured by L. KOESTER.
- SORRELL, C. A. & RAMEY, R. R. (1974). J. Chem. Eng. Data, 19(1), 31-32.
- WEHE, D. J., BUSING, W. R. & LEVY, H. A. (1962). ORABS. Report ORNL-TM-229. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee.
- ZACHARIASEN, W. H. (1967). Acta Cryst. 23, 558-564.

Acta Cryst. (1985). C41, 10-13

## Electron-Density Distribution in Crystals of Lithium Nitrite Hydrate, LiNO<sub>2</sub>.H<sub>2</sub>O, at 120 K

## By S. Ohba, T. Kikkawa and Y. Saito

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science and Technology, Keio University, Hiyoshi 3, Kohoku-ku, Yokohama 223, Japan

(Received 11 May 1984; accepted 25 September 1984)

Abstract.  $M_r = 70.96$ , monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$ , a = 3.306 (1), b = 14.242 (1), c = 6.396 (1) Å,  $\beta = 106.14$  (1)°, V = 289.3 (1) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 4,  $D_x = 1.63 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ , Mo  $K\alpha_1$ ,  $\lambda = 0.70926$  Å,  $\mu = 0.157 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ , F(000) = 144, T = 120 (1) K, final R = 0.028 for 1512 observed unique reflections. The lone-pair electron of the nitrite O atom was observed as separate peaks of 0.28 (4) e Å<sup>-3</sup> on the difference synthesis at 110–115° to the N–O bond axis and 0.50-0.55 Å from the O nucleus, suggesting  $sp^2$  hybridization. N–O bonding and N lone-pair electrons were also observed as peaks of 0.25 (4) and 0.47 (4) e Å<sup>-3</sup>, respectively.

**Introduction.** Charge distribution in  $[Co(NO_2)_6]^{3-}$  has been studied experimentally (Ohba, Toriumi, Sato & Saito, 1978) as well as theoretically by an *ab initio* MO method (Ohba, Kitaura, Morokuma & Saito, 1979). The theoretical deformation density showed  $sp^2$ hybridization of the O atoms, whereas the experimental density suggested *sp* hybridization. To resolve the disagreement, the electron-density distribution of an  $NO_2^-$  ion not coordinated to a metal atom has been studied. An attempt with AgNO<sub>2</sub> was unsuccessful because of the large anisotropic thermal vibrations of  $NO_2^-$  (Ohba & Saito, 1981). Crystal structures of alkaline and alkaline-earth nitrites have not been reported except for NaNO<sub>2</sub> (Kay & Frazer, 1961),

KNO<sub>2</sub> (Solbakk & Strømme, 1969) and Ba(NO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.H<sub>2</sub>O (Abrahams, Bernstein & Liminga, 1980). Charge distribution in ferroelectric crystals of NaNO<sub>2</sub> has been investigated by Iwata, Koyano & Shibuya (1979) and Ito (1984). However, NaNO<sub>2</sub> has a non-centrosymmetric structure and hence includes phase approximations (Ito & Shibuya, 1977). For its advantage in valence/core electron ratio (Stevens & Coppens, 1976), Li was selected as a counter ion. We determined the structure of LiNO<sub>2</sub>.H<sub>2</sub>O, which is centrosymmetric, and obtained the deformation density at 300 (1) K. Quite independently, almost the same results on LiNO<sub>2</sub>.H<sub>2</sub>O at 295 K were reported by Hermansson & Thomas (1983). However, the resolution of the deformation density was not sufficient to discuss the hybridization of the nitrite O atoms; therefore a redetermination was carried out at 120 K.

**Experimental.** Crystals of LiNO<sub>2</sub>.H<sub>2</sub>O grown from filtrate of mixture of LiCl and AgNO<sub>2</sub> aqueous solution by slow evaporation under sulfuric acid in a desiccator. Hygroscopic, nearly spherical crystal of 0.5 mm diameter sealed in glass capillary with dry N<sub>2</sub> gas. Rigaku AFC-5 four-circle diffractometer with graphite-monochromatized Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation. There is a possibility that the crystal is twinned, where the twinned cells are related by a mirror plane perpendicular to **a** (Hermansson & Thomas, 1983) and they cannot be

0108-2701/85/010010-04\$01.50

© 1985 International Union of Crystallography

distinguished from single crystals because  $a \simeq -2c \cos\beta$ at room temperature [a = 3.338 (2), b = 14.295 (1), $c = 6.396 (1) \text{ Å}, \ \beta = 105.11 (3)^{\circ} \text{ at } 300 (1) \text{ K}$ ]. Cell parameters are not changed by axis transformation, a' = a, b' = -b and c' = -a-c. Specimen confirmed to be a single crystal by observing systematic absences h0l, l odd (see Table 1). Crystal, cooled by blowing cold  $N_2$  gas evaporated from liquid  $N_2$ , did not show phase transition. Collimator  $1.0 \text{ mm} \emptyset$ , detector aperture  $1.55 \times 1.55^{\circ}$ ,  $\theta - 2\theta$  scan, scan speed  $6^{\circ}$  min<sup>-1</sup> in  $\theta$ , scan width  $(1 \cdot 3 + 0 \cdot 5 \tan \theta)^{\circ}$ , no repetition. Other details of intensity measurement have been reported previously (Ohba & Saito, 1984). Mean ratio of structure factors of five standard reflections 0.977 < $\sum (|F_o|/|F_o|_{\text{initial}})/5 < 1.002$ . Cell parameters refined by least squares of 20  $2\theta$  values (60 <  $2\theta$  < 77°). 3809 reflections measured ( $2\theta \le 80^\circ$ , h 0-6, k -25-25, l -11-11;  $80 < 2\theta \le 120^{\circ}$ , h = 0-8, k = 0-34, l = -15-15), 48 unobserved  $[|F_{o}| \leq 3\sigma(|F_{o}|)], 427$  zero, 3334 observed reflections. Variation of |F(110)| with azimuthal angles around scattering vector 4%. After averaging equivalent reflections 1512 unique hkl obtained with  $R_{int} = 0.010$ . Corrections for Lorentz-polarization but not for absorption,  $\mu r = 0.04$ , absorption correction factor 1.037 for all reflections. Atomic parameters and a scale factor refined with full-matrix least-squares program RADIEL (Coppens, Guru Row, Leung, Stevens, Becker & Yang, 1979). Atomic coordinates at 300 (1) K (determined previously) utilized as initial parameters.  $\sum w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2$ minimized,  $w^{-1} = [\sigma_F(\text{count})]^2 + (0.0\overline{20}|F_o|)^2$ . After conventional refinement, positional and thermal parameters of non-H atoms determined based on high-order reflections with  $\sin\theta/\lambda \ge 0.6$  Å<sup>-1</sup>. Then H parameters and a scale factor refined based on all unique reflections. Isotropic secondary extinction correction parameter (Zachariasen, 1967) did not reduce R significantly so was not introduced. Final R = 0.028,  $wR = 0.045, S = 1.74.* R(F^2)$  and  $wR(F^2)$  of 0.056 and 0.084 are a little larger than those of Hermansson & Thomas (1983) for 1375 room-temperature data. Complex neutral-atom scattering factors from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974).  $\Delta/\sigma < 0.3$ . Parameter/reflection ratio 74/1512. Atomic parameters are given in Table 2. Thermal parameters of non-H atoms decreased to 45% on average by lowering temperature from 300 to 120 K. However, anisotropy in thermal vibrations did not change drastically (see Table 3).

**Discussion.** The difference synthesis on the NO<sub>2</sub> plane showed bilateral symmetry (see Fig. 1a). An averaged difference synthesis assuming mirror symmetry is also presented (Fig. 1b) (Toriumi & Saito, 1978). Around the O atom there are positive peaks of 0.28 (4) e Å<sup>-3</sup> at 0.50-0.55 Å from the O nucleus making angles of 110-115° with the N-O bond axis. It suggests that the hybridization of the O atom is  $sp^2$  in agreement with the theoretical expectation by the CNDO/2 method (see Fig. 3b of Ohba, Toriumi, Sato & Saito, 1978). The

Table 1. Systematic absences for LiNO<sub>2</sub>.H<sub>2</sub>O single and twinned crystals

	Sing	Twinned crystal	
	(a,b,c setting)	(a,-b,-a-c  setting)	
h0l	<i>l</i> odd	h + l odd	h odd and l odd
00/	<i>l</i> odd	<i>l</i> odd	l odd
h00	None	h odd	None
0 <i>k</i> 0	k odd	k odd	k odd

Table 2. Positional parameters ( $\times 10^5$ ; for Li  $\times 10^4$ ; for  $H \times 10^3$ ) and equivalent isotropic temperature factors (*Hamilton*, 1959)

	x	У	z B/	$B_{eq}(\dot{A}^2 \times 10)$
Li	3644 (3)	8331 (1)	6322 (1)	11 (1)
N	76250 (9)	36015 (2)	27788 (4)	9 (1)
0(1)	63500 (9)	28263 (2)	18843 (4)	10 (1)
O(2)	80494 (9)	42271 (2)	14812 (4)	10 (1)
Ow	7219 (8)	39172 (2)	73843 (4)	9 (1)
H(1)	77 (4)	451 (1)	760 (2)	25 (2)
H(2)	-17 (4)	384 (1)	601 (2)	16 (2)

Table 3. Root-mean-square displacements (Å)

u <sub>max</sub>	and	u <sub>min</sub>	are	maximum displacem	and ents.	mir	imum	vibrational
			120 K				300 K	
Li N O(1) O(2) Ow	<i>u</i> <sub>ma</sub> 0.128 0.115 0.134 0.137 0.121	x (2) 0 (1) 0 (1) 0 (1) 0 (1) 0	<i>u</i> <sub>min</sub> · 108 (2) · 095 (1) · 090 (1) · 093 (1) · 091 (1)	$\frac{u_{\max}/u_{\min}}{1\cdot 19} (2) \\ 1\cdot 21 (1) \\ 1\cdot 49 (1) \\ 1\cdot 47 (1) \\ 1\cdot 33 (1) $	<i>u</i> m 0·19 0·17 0·21 0·20 0·18	ax 1 (2) 7 (1) 2 (1) 3 (1) 3 (1)	$u_{min}$ 0.149 (2 0.142 (1 0.143 (1 0.139 (1 0.133 (1	$u_{max}/u_{min}$ ) 1.28 (2) ) 1.25 (1) ) 1.48 (1) ) 1.50 (1) ) 1.41 (1)
		(a	)				( <i>b</i> )	

Fig. 1. Section of (a) the difference synthesis through the  $NO_2$  plane and (b) the difference synthesis averaged assuming mirror symmetry. Contours are drawn at intervals of  $0.05 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ . Negative contours are dotted, zero contours chain-dotted.

<sup>\*</sup> List of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters and a difference synthesis on the plane containing the N-O(2) axis and perpendicular to the NO<sub>2</sub> plane have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 39768 (17 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

## Table 4. Interatomic distances (Å) and angles (°)

N–O(1)	1.2609 (4)	O(2)–N…H(2 <sup>ii</sup> )	118-9 (3)
N - O(2)	1.2518 (4)	$N \cdots H(2^{ii}) - O_w^{ii}$	177.5 (12)
O(1) - N - O(2)	114.04 (3)	$O(2) \cdots H(1^{i}) - O_{w}^{i}$	168-1 (13)
Ow-H(1)	0.855 (14)	Ow…Li <sup>iv</sup>	2.0320 (12)
Ow-H(2)	0.853 (12)	Ow…Li <sup>v</sup>	1.9985 (11)
H(1) - Ow - H(2)	106-2 (13)	H(1)–Ow…Li <sup>iv</sup>	108-9 (10)
N–O(1)···Li <sup>i</sup>	120.82 (4)	H(1)–Ow…Li <sup>v</sup>	111.9 (10)
N–O(1)…Li <sup>lli</sup>	92.90 (3)	H(2)–Ow····Li <sup>iv</sup>	106-6 (8)
N−O(2)…Li <sup>lli</sup>	94.55 (3)	H(2)−Ow…Li <sup>v</sup>	112.8 (8)
$N-O(2)\cdots H(1^{1})$	121.4 (4)	Li <sup>iv</sup> ····Ow····Li <sup>v</sup>	110.21 (4)
$O(1) - N \cdots H(2^{11})$	126-3 (3)		
Symmetry code:			
(i) $1 - x$ , $1 - y$ , 1	-z	$(iv) - x, -\frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{3}{2} -$	- <i>z</i>
(ii) $1 + x, y, z$		(v) $1 - x, -\frac{1}{2} + y,$	$\frac{3}{2} - z$
(iii) $1 - x, -\frac{1}{2} + y$ ,	$\frac{1}{2} - z$	· · ·	-
	-		

neighboring atoms within 2.5 Å of an NO<sub>2</sub> ion approximately lie on the NO<sub>2</sub> plane, as shown in Fig. 2, and their arrangement is nearly symmetric. An ab initio study of the binding of H<sub>2</sub>O to the nitrite ion in the gas phase showed a favorable electrostatic interaction with the coplanar arrangements of the two molecules (Pullman & Berthod, 1981). The preferred orientation of the metal cation to the nitrite ion is also expected to coincide with the direction of the lone-pair electrons. Interatomic distances and angles are listed in Table 4. The angle N-O(1)...Li<sup>+</sup> (1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z) is 120.82 (4)°. In the crystals of  $Sr(NO_2)_2.H_2O$ , the angles N-O····Sr<sup>2+</sup> are in the range  $121 \cdot 6$  (4) to 136.8 (4)°, where the  $Sr^{2+}$  ion lies approximately on the NO<sub>2</sub> plane (Kikkawa, Ohba & Saito, 1984). These structural data also indicate  $sp^2$  hydridization of the O atom. The bonding electron peak appears on the N-O axis at 0.7 Å from the N nucleus and not elongated perpendicularly to the NO<sub>2</sub> plane, as shown in Fig. 3, in contrast to the slightly elongated N-O bonding peak and a pair of positive peaks, which appeared above and below the N–O axis of  $K_2Na[Co(NO_2)_6]$  (Ohba et al., 1978). Further investigations on the transition-metal complexes should be made to conclude whether the difference is due to electron redistribution by coordination or by thermal smearing of the charge distribution. The lone-pair peak of the N atom is observed at 0.55 Å from the N nucleus, 0.47 (4) e Å<sup>-3</sup> in height. In Fig. 3 two negative peaks of -0.32 (4) e Å<sup>-3</sup> appear above and below the N atom, suggesting electron deficiency in the p orbital perpendicular to the molecular plane. The error in the deformation density at a general position estimated from  $\sigma(|F_a|)$  and the e.s.d. of the scale factor was  $0.04 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ . The error near the N and O nucleus within 0.15 Å is 0.05 e Å<sup>-3</sup>.

A projection of the crystal structure is shown in Fig. 4. The Li atom is surrounded by two hydrate O atoms and three nitrite O atoms at distances 1.9985 (11) to 2.1736 (9) Å. The two Ow...Li contacts are directed from the Ow nucleus to the two unoccupied tetrahedral sites around it, the remaining two of which are occupied by the H atoms (see Table 4). Fig. 5 shows sections of

Fig. 2. Molecular arrangement around the  $NO_2^-$  ion. The number below the atom numbering indicates the deviation of the atom from the NO<sub>2</sub> plane (Å).



Fig. 3. Section of the difference synthesis through the N-O(1) bond axis and perpendicular to the  $NO_2$  plane.



Fig. 4. A projection of the crystal structure.



Fig. 5. Section of the difference synthesis (a) through the  $H_2O$  plane and (b) through the Ow atom and perpendicular to the  $H_2O$  plane.



the difference synthesis in the  $H_2O$  plane and perpendicular to it. The lone pair of the  $H_2O$  oxygen atom is found as a peak of 0.25 (4) e Å<sup>-3</sup> at 0.4 Å from the O nucleus and almost on the bisector of the Li<sup>+</sup>...  $Ow...Li^+$  angle, in contrast to the room-temperature experiment (Fig. 3b of Hermansson & Thomas, 1983) where the lone-pair peak is significantly off the bisector.

The charge distribution of the nitrate ion has also been investigated: uronium nitrate (Harkema, 1971), NaNO<sub>3</sub> (Göttlicher & Knöchel, 1980), thiotrithiazyl nitrate (Moss, Guru Row & Coppens, 1980) and LiNO<sub>3</sub>.3H<sub>2</sub>O (Hermansson, Thomas & Olovsson, 1984). Hermansson *et al.* (1984) showed the  $sp^2$ hybridization of the nitrate O atom clearly. The formate ion has also been studied: LiHCOO.H<sub>2</sub>O (Thomas, Tellgren & Almlöf, 1975) and KH(HCOO)<sub>2</sub> (Hermansson & Tellgren, 1983). It seems that the terminal O atoms of these planar anions, NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and HCO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, take  $sp^2$  hybridization.

#### References

ABRAHAMS, S. C., BERNSTEIN, J. L. & LIMINGA, R. (1980). J. Chem. Phys. 72(11), 5837-5862.

COPPENS, P., GURU ROW, T. N., LEUNG, P., STEVENS, E. D., BECKER, P. J. & YANG, Y. W. (1979). Acta Cryst. A 35, 63-72.

Göttlicher, S. & Knöchel, C. D. (1980). Acta Cryst. B36, 1271–1277.

HAMILTON, W. C. (1959). Acta Cryst. 12, 609-610.

- HARKEMA, S. (1971). Thesis. Twente Univ. of Technology, Enschede, The Netherlands.
- HERMANSSON, K. & TELLGREN, R. (1983). Acta Cryst. C39, 1507–1510.
- HERMANSSON, K. & THOMAS, J. O. (1983). Acta Cryst. C39, 930–936.
- HERMANSSON, K., THOMAS, J. O. & OLOVSSON, I. (1984). Acta Cryst. C40, 335-340.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- ITO, T. (1984). Private communication.
- ITO, T. & SHIBUYA, I. (1977). Acta Cryst. A33, 71-74.
- IWATA, Y., KOYANO, N. & SHIBUYA, I. (1979). Annu. Rep. Res. React. Inst. Kyoto Univ. 12, 161–165.
- KAY, M. I. & FRAZER, B. C. (1961). Acta Cryst. 14, 56-57.
- KIKKAWA, T., OHBA, S. & SAITO, Y. (1984). In preparation.
- Moss, G., GURU ROW, T. N. & COPPENS, P. (1980). Inorg. Chem. 19, 2396–2403.
- OHBA, S., KITAURA, K., MOROKUMA, K. & SAITO, Y. (1979). Annu. Rev. Inst. Mol. Sci. Okazaki, pp. 21–22.
- Онва, S. & Saito, Y. (1981). Acta Cryst. B37, 1911-1913.
- Онва, S. & Saito, Y. (1984). Acta Cryst. C40, 1639–1641.
- OHBA, S., TORIUMI, K., SATO, S. & SAITO, Y. (1978). Acta Cryst. B34, 3535–3542.
- PULLMAN, A. & BERTHOD, H. (1981). Chem. Phys. Lett. 81, 195–200.
- SOLBAKK, J. K. & STRØMME, K. O. (1969). Acta Chem. Scand. 23, 300–313.
- STEVENS, E. D. & COPPENS, P. (1976). Acta Cryst. A32, 915-917.
- THOMAS, J. O., TELLGREN, R. & ALMLÖF, J. (1975). Acta Cryst. B31, 1946–1955.
- TORIUMI, K. & SAITO, Y. (1978). Acta Cryst. B34, 3149-3156. ZACHARIASEN, W. H. (1967). Acta Cryst. 23, 558-564.

### Acta Cryst. (1985). C41, 13-15

# Structure of Homilite, $Ca_{2,00}(Fe_{0.90}Mn_{0.03})B_{2,00}Si_{2,00}O_{9.86}(OH)_{0.14}$

## BY R. MIYAWAKI, I. NAKAI AND K. NAGASHIMA

Department of Chemistry, The University of Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan

(Received 27 July 1984; accepted 24 September 1984)

 $M_r = 370.0$ , monoclinic,  $P2_1/a$ , Abstract. a =b = 7.621 (2), c = 4.776 (1) Å,  $\beta =$ 9.786(2), 90.61 (2)°,  $V = 356 \cdot 2 (1) \text{ Å}^3$ , Z = 2,  $D_{\rm r} =$  $3.451 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ,  $\lambda(\text{Mo } K\alpha) = 0.71069 \text{ Å}$ ,  $\mu = 37.7 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , F(000) = 364.58, room temperature, final R = 0.033for 1596 observed reflections. The crystal structure consists of sheets built up from alternating SiO<sub>4</sub> and  $BO_4$  tetrahedra, parallel to (001). The calcium and iron atoms are located between the sheets and form a  $CaO_8$ tetragonal antiprism and an FeO<sub>6</sub> octahedron respectively.

**Introduction.** Homilite was first described by Paikjull (1876), and later Tennyson (1978) determined the lattice parameters. It has been known that homilite has

0108-2701/85/010013-03\$01.50

close structural relationships with gadolinite,  $RE_2FeBe_2Si_2O_{10}$ , (RE = rare earth), datolite,  $CaBSiO_4(OH)$ , etc. However, the structure analysis of homilite, which enables us to compare the structures of these minerals, has not yet been accomplished. The authors, therefore, have investigated its crystal structure. The homilite specimen used in the present study is from Stokö near Brevig, Langesundfjord, Norway.

**Experimental.** The electron microprobe analysis of the specimen gave  $SiO_2$ , 31.58; FeO, 17.03; MnO, 0.50; CaO, 29.43 wt %, and revealed the presence of trace amounts of rare-earth elements: Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd and Dy. The ion microprobe mass analyzer indicated that boron is present in major amounts, while

© 1985 International Union of Crystallography